Pupil Premium Strategy Statement –Redhills Community Primary School

1. Summary information							
School Redhills Community Primary School							
Academic Year	2018 - 2019 Total PP budget £146,520 Date of most recent PP Review						
Total number of pupils	295	Number of pupils eligible for PP (37.6%)	111	Date for next internal review of this strategy	September 2018		

2. Current attainment		
Achievement Criteria	Pupils eligible for PP (your school)	Pupils <mark>not eligible</mark> for PP (national average) (KS1 2017 / KS2 2018)
% achieving the expected standard or above in reading, writing & maths end of KS2	53.8% (7/13)	68%
% achieving expected standard or above in reading at end of KS2	53.8% (7/13)	74%
% achieving expected standard or above in writing at end of KS2	84.6% (11/13)	76%
% achieving expected standard or above in SPAG at end of KS2	38.4% (5/13	78%
% achieving expected standard or above in maths at end of KS2	53.8% (7/13)	80%
% achieving expected standard or above in reading at end of KS1	60% (9/15)	78%
% achieving expected standard or above in writing at end of KS1	53.3% (8/15)	71%
% achieving expected standard or above in maths at end of KS1	46.7% (7/15)	78%
% pass the phonic screening test in Y1	83.3% (10/12)	84%
% achieving a Good Level of Development at the end of EYFS	55% (7/13)	83%

3. Ba	rriers to future attainment (for pupils eligible for PP)
In-sch	ool barriers (issues to be addressed in school, such as poor oral language skills)
A.	In some classes, historical underperformance of PP children, which needs to be addressed to further narrow gap with 'other' children.
В.	Unrelenting focus on PP progress from all staff. As a result, provision and interventions are timely, reviewed frequently, and adjusted swiftly where required.
C.	Provision needs to be targeted more effectively at need e.g. poor oral language and PSED on entry, boys, PP children with SEND, PP children with SEMH needs
Ex	ternal barriers (issues which also require action outside school, such as low attendance rates)
D.	Low aspirations of child and family leading to lack of support at home.
E.	Disruption and trauma for children who have vulnerable home lives – leading to poor behaviour and higher risk of exclusion
F.	Our PP children can be disadvantaged by family's lack of education and ability to engage with other services
G.	Poor attendance and punctuality of children in receipt of PP

4.	Desired outcomes (Desired outcomes and how they will	Success criteria
A.	Address historical underperformance of PP children across the school – specifically: PP boys, PP children with SEND, PP children with SEMH needs	 Continue to narrow the attainment gap between PP and 'other' children nationally (narrowing the gap with national). PP pupils make better progress than 'other' pupils to reduce attainment gap. Ensure that all PP children make at least expected progress from their starting points, and begin to catch up with their peers in school (narrowing in the in school gap). Early identification of pupils eligible for PP in Reception, who do not have the on entry level for speech and language, and KS1 pupils who require S&L secure effective intervention to catch up. To ensure they make rapid progress by the end of the year so that pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations. Measured termly by teacher assessments and successful moderation practices established across the school and trust wide Forensic analysis of PP pupils school wide data to better meet their needs academically and pastorally.
B.	Improve attendance: Increase attendance rates Lower persistent absence Reduce L codes	PP pupils' families are supported to ensure that they attend regularly and as a result increase attendance rates. PP pupils to lower persistent absences compared to 'other groups' through support and challenge. PP pupils to Improved punctuality of PP children which leads to a decrease in the number of L codes.

C.	Reduce poor behaviour and fixed term exclusions by better meeting the needs of PP & SEMH boys.	Pupils eligible for PP needs are met and supported through challenging periods of their lives and resulting in a reduced number of repeat exclusions.
D.	Continue to improve parental engagement, expectation and understanding for PP pupils.	Increase engagement and satisfaction of parents in a range of activities to be measured through parental questionnaire.

5. Planned expenditure

Academic year

2018 - 2019

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the Pupil Premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies

i. Quality of teaching for all

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implement ation?
 PP pupils make better progress than 'other' pupils nationally to reduce attainment gap Ensure that all PP children make at least expected progress from their starting points, and begin to catch up with their peers in school (narrowing in the in school gap). Early identification of pupils eligible for PP in EYFS, who do not have the on entry level for speech and language, and KS1 pupils who require S&L secure effective intervention to catch up. To ensure they make rapid progress by the end of the year so that pupils eligible for PP meet age related expectations. 	 Accelerated Reader Daily reading with an adult for PP pupils 'Deeper Learning' Curriculum CPD for WJ Phonics intervention 1:1 Library provision Split classes weekly for intensive 	Education Endowment Fund evidence: • EEF + 5 months AR - Particularly effective for KS2 weaker readers • Meta-cognition and self-regulation (high impact +8) • Phonics teaching (moderate impact +4) • One to One Tuition (moderate impact +5) • Feedback +8 months • Mastery Learning	 High-profile in school with displays, rewards and teacher moderation. Staff will be trained how to use the software for maximum impact AR leader to analyse non-readers and inform teachers. Focused CPD on whole class reading Focused CPD on AFL – 'Teaching Backwards' Focus CPD on 'Deep Learning' (Mastery, collaboration and metacognition focus) Further CDP to ensure Feedback policy is effective to meet the needs of our PP pupils. Peer tuition in reading (link class across school) Peer mentoring with West Exe PP pupils Y6 Review each week in SLT – review of lesson observations, pupil interviews, 	WJ, CP, KW, RB, NW	

			Total budgeted cost	£40,842.00
pastorally.	(PIRA/PUMA)	the S&L and 1:1 SEMH (Thrive) intervention had on the attainment and progress.	 with school leaders Progress meetings and flight paths half termly with a focus on PP children and their progress across the curriculum and their current needs All pupils are assessed for any S&L difficulties and programmes, with support from S&L service, are designed and implemented. Trust wide moderation to ensure validate standards and share expertise. 	
assessments and successful moderation practices established across the school and trust wide • Forensic analysis of PP pupils school wide data to better meet their needs academically and	 After school clubs' subsidy Standardised testing 	PE +2months Reading comprehension strategies +6months EYFS case study showing the impact of	 document assessments Forensic tracking of progress through data and regular progress meetings with teachers Each teacher to have specific identified goals – this will be monitored through regular evaluation and through meetings 	
Measured termly by teacher	support- PE	+5months	book scrutiny using the PRAISE	

ii. Targeted suppor	t				
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff lead	When will you review implementation?
Pupils eligible for PP needs are met and supported through challenging periods of their lives and resulting in a reduced number of repeat exclusions.	 Thrive interventions Pre-teaching in Maths – CPD for leader Tree House Leader Safeguarding officer to support families Forest Education training – £1200 	Education Endowment Fund evidence: Behaviour interventions (moderate impact +3) Social and emotional learning (moderate impact +4) Outdoor adventure learning (moderate impact +4) Small Group Tuition (moderate impact +4) Parental engagement + 3months Self-regulation strategies +5months Social emotional learning strategies +3months Case Studies from 17/18 at Redhills	 Questionnaire for parents to ensure needs are met Analysis of Thrive assessments –progress Analysis of CPOMS to identify patterns of behaviour Diverse range of parent workshops (cooking, academic, coffee morning & spiritual) Monitor pupils' home learning, reading records and spellings, increasing parental engagement. 	WJ, CP, KW, RB, NW	
	-	•	Total b	udgeted cost	£68,628.00

iii. Other approacl	nes		ii. Other approaches							
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented	Staff lead	When will you review implementati					
 PP pupils' families are supported to ensure that they attend regularly and as a result increase attendance rates. PP pupils to lower persistent absences compared to 'other groups' through support and challenge. PP pupils to Improved punctuality of PP children which leads to a decrease in the number of L codes. 	 Babcock termly attendance report Performance related pay 	Pupil Premium Awards to identify best practice - what has worked. Education Endowment Fund evidence: • Performance pay + 1 month • Outdoor learning +4 months • Engagement through the curriculum and after school clubs • Pupil premium award schools, similar context and improved attendance drastically using these approaches.	Whole staff PDM outlining whole school approach on the first inset days. Staff will identify targets pupils. Babcock to produce PP attendance report to analyse data from SIMS. EWO to be used effectively to identify at risk pupils and parents who will be challenged legally.	WJ, CP, KW, RB, NW						

•		

6. Review of expenditure

Previous Academic Year		2017 – 2018	2017 – 2018				
i. Quality of teacl	ning for all						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Success Criteria:	Lessons learned Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Cost			

To narrow the gap between the outcomes of PP children and others, particularly in EYFS, Y1 phonics and KS1 (see 2017 data above).

To raise expectations of all PP children in our community

High quality professional development focusing on Assessment for Learning and High Expectations

Introduce targeted phonics one to one tutoring £500

Ongoing Coaching for Y2 teachers £7000

Introduce standardised testing allowing teachers to identify gaps in learning for PP children and target these through their teaching and use of interventions.

Puma £1176 Pira £1176 Success Criteria:

To reduce the gap between the % of PP children and the % of other children nationally currently achieving "Good Level of Development' (currently 19% gap)

To reduce the gap between the % PP children passing Phonics Screening test and the % of other children nationally currently passing the phonic screening test (currently 28% gap)

To reduce the gap between the % PP children achieving expected in reading, writing and mathematics compared with the % of other children nationally (current gap is 18% reading, 20% writing, 14% mathematics)

Pupils are closing the gap to compared to national across the school in EYFS, Phonics, and Reading, writing, maths and the combined scores.

£9852.00

Phonics 2018 gap has narrowed with 93% of all pupils and PP 83% passed the screening. There is now a 0% gap to national others group 2017.

Gap for reading, writing and maths has not narrowed at the end of KS1. This was in part because of an improved attainment of non-disadvantaged pupils. However, this cohort has improved the % of disadvantaged children achieving the national standard compared to last year. See below.

However, we have tracked the progress of each cohort from last year comparing PP to national others from 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 and analysing the difference over time to see the progress of our PP pupils' attainment. Data below shows the positive difference in attainment of cohorts of PP pupils from 2016/17 to 2017/2018.

	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	Y6
Read		26.7	4.8	17	18.8	7.6
Write		20	4.8	-12.2	43.8	61.5
Maths		6.7	9.5	37.5	31.2	30.7
Combined		20	-4.7	4.1	18.8	38.4

All cohorts have narrowed the gap to 'national others' over the past year except Writing in Year 4.

Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Success Criteria:	Lessons learned Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Cost
To improve the behaviour and social/ emotional well-being of our most vulnerable PP children	Establish a nurture unit for vulnerable children based around their needs and interests - Consultancy £750 Staffing £70,167 Review range of interventions currently used in school and create a provision map – targeted support £72,661 One to One Mentors £6937 Play therapy £8,440 Introduce Forest Schools – training £1100	Success criteria: To reduce the incidences of poor behaviour impacting on teaching and learning. To provide more specialist provision and interventions for our most vulnerable children.	Prior to the last academic year there was no consistent approach to collecting and analysing of behaviour data. This is now in place, however it is difficult to measure improvements with no base line. Exclusions have remained at a similar level but we are able to demonstrate that for most children we are able to put effective interventions in place to minimise repeated exclusions. We have successful put in place 1:1 mentors, Play Therapy, Tree House provision, Forest school training which have all been well-received by children and families. During this year, new behaviour policy implemented, including recording of behaviour incidents. This has taken its time to be implemented but is now consistent. As a result, we are now able to analyse the data to identify the groups and As a result of our data analysis we are now able to plan a response to this behaviour for the children with identified needs.	£160,055

We can also use our analysis to identify different types of behaviours that we need to manage.
One to one mentors and play therapy have had a positive impact on our pupils and continue to support our most vulnerable PP pupils who we are supporting through challenging aspects of their lives.

To improve	Implement weekly tracking of	Parents have been called to	Weekly tracking of attendance has been	£5680
attendance and	attendance and persistent	meetings with Senior staff and	implemented and there has been an improvement.	
punctuality of	absence.	the EWO. This has resulted in		
targeted PP children		94.8% attendance of PP pupils	Achieved a more consistent approach. Parents are	
	Consistently sanction poor	in 2017/2018 compared to 96%	aware of the consequences for absence.	
	attendance	of non pp pupils in school.	SLT are more aware of groups and individual pupils	
			with poor attendance. This is enabling us to target	
	Make attendance a high priority		more specifically in our SIP for 2018 – 2019.	
	in school newsletters, etc			
			Rates of absence and persistent absence are still	
	Implement attendance		high. Need to build upon this year's foundations to	
	incentives for children		improve attendance and % of persistent absence.	
	£4395 Meetings with parents - Partnership with EWO - £1,285		Small improvement in attendance everall in 2017	
			Small improvement in attendance overall in 2017 – 2018 4.48% (-0.22%) absence but still higher than	
			national 15/16 figures.	
			national 15/10 figures.	
			Reduction in persistent absence to 9.8% (-3.9%)	
			however still above national PA rates for 15/16	
			Overall, groups attending above national are FSM,	
			EHCPs, SEN Support	
			Target groups to reduce Persistent Absence next	
			year: FSM, Non FSM, SEN Support, Non SEN,	
			EAL, Non EAL, Boys	
			Year groups to target for improved attendance next	
			year: All year groups except Y4 and Y6. Y2 and Y5	
			worst offenders in 17/18	
			Voor groups to target for reduced DA: Voor 2 (449/)	
			Year groups to target for reduced PA: Year 2 (14%) Year 5 (13.6%) Year 6 (9.8%)	
			Teal 3 (13.070) Teal 0 (8.070)	

iii. Other approaches						
Desired outcome	Chosen action / approach	Success Criteria:	Lessons learned Did you meet the success criteria? Include impact on pupils not eligible for PP, if appropriate.	Cost		
To provide additional enrichment opportunities for PP children, especially those who have lack of opportunity to access quality enrichment activities	Participation in arts, drama, music and sports extra-curricular clubs. Participate in enrichment opportunities for core subjects with other children	Increase participation of PP pupils at Breakfast club & morning Sports clubs through offering free places. To provide additional enrichment opportunities for PP children, especially those who have lack of opportunity to access quality enrichment activities	Enrichment activities off site: Canoeing trip Y5, Magdalen Y6, Clip n Climb, Crealy, EYFS/Y1, Paignton Zoo Y2, Cinema trip Y5, Bowling Y6 PP pupils are given priority to lunch time Lego and wellbeing clubs in KS1/2. This club was full every day and helped reduce the number of PP pupils in reflection time as their needs are being met. 50% of pupils chosen for Age inter-generational work were PP. This enabled them to develop relationships with elderly people and serve their community. Cultural experiences were not attended highly by PP pupils. We intend to have a greater focus on the PP pupils to attend all enrichments and experiences. Age UK work will continue and PP pupils will be focused to attend and serve their community.	£5000		

7. Additional detail

In this section you can annex or refer to **additional** information which you have used to support the sections above.